



Health Authority of Anguilla
SITE MEETING FOR THE PAH's DIALYSIS UNIT
Date: 20th January, 2022



Present at pre-bid meeting were:

Bidders Reps: Mr. Carl Reid (Klass Construction)
Mr. Cephas Webster (Quality Construction)
Mr. Cleveland Richards (Crystal Sunrise Properties)
Mr. Gareth Orchard (ORB)
Mr. Juan Richardson (Richardson Engineering and Services)
Mr. Lewis Liburd (PACE Construction)
Mr. Mauricio Cores (Pete's Construction)
Mr. Nevon Gumbs (Leeward Construction)
Mr. Peterson Connor (Pete's Construction)
Mr. Vincent Carty (Vinca Plumbing)

Client Reps: Ms. Christine Dupuis (HAA)
Ms. Kamba Hughes (Synergy Consultancy)
Ms. Ludiane Leveret-Richardson (Procurement Office)
Mrs. Maeza Demis-Adams (HAA)
Mr. Malcolm Webster (HAA)
Mr. Conlloyd Gumbs (HAA)

INTRODUCTION AND REMARKS

The meeting started at 3:17 p.m. Mrs. Demis-Adams gave a brief overview of the importance of dialysis noted that the bidders must understand that the project will be done in the middle of a live hospital for patients and workers. She noted that access to the current isolation ward must be considered, as well as the need for the ambulance to access the back of the ward. Mrs. Leveret-Richardson encouraged bidders to submit queries or any inconsistencies in the document directly to the Procurement Office's email address provided in the documents. It was noted that if anyone was to contact anyone outside the procurement office they could potentially be disqualified.

Bidders were reminded to complete all the forms. Mr. Malcolm Webster also noted the importance of the potential risk to disruptions for mechanical, electrical and plumbing that exist around the hospital, which could be affected during construction. It is important to be cautious of work being done there as a result.



The following concerns were raised:

Concern 1: How do we handle GST tax, since half of the project will be functioning during that time?

Response: This will be looked into for a final answer.

Concern 2: Is there an equipment list for HVAC and other subcontractors?

Response: It will be looked into, to see if any are available. All questions related to this should be sent to the procurement office.

Concern 3: Structural drawings appear incomplete. Is there more drawings to be given as it relates to structural drawings?

Response: We are aware that the electrical drawings are outstanding and are to be provided but we will look into the structural drawings.

Concern 4: There is no mention of medical grade electrical outlets, etc, which are necessary for the clinical space.

Response: This will be reviewed.

Concern 5: There are no prices against the provisional sums and there are no designs for the medical gas, car parking, etc.

Response: This is closely linked to concern 17. This will be considered.

Concern 6: Is half of the building being built?

Response: Yes. Only the dialysis section is being built, up to the movement joint as shown on the architectural plans.

Concern 7: Line item 5.83, the specified toilet has exposed piping, which is not suitable for a medical facility. The hospital and clinics have been moving to contactless fixtures to avoid contamination. However, the items in line 5.78 is not contactless. The toilets are British specifications and were previously removed from the hospital.

Response: These will be revised.

Concern 8: Existing waterlines are overhead. However, the ones for this is embedded in concrete. Why are these pressure lines embedded in the concrete?

Response: These will be revised.



Concern 9: Item 3.2.3.1 needs to be reviewed as it is confusing. For instance, it refers to sawn formwork and underneath it has concrete. The concern is pricing for concrete as opposed to what should be in that particular section.

Response: These will be revised to add more clarity to the Bill of Quantities. The Bill of Quantities will be assessed. Bidder was also asked to submit in writing areas identified as requiring clarity to ensure they were all considered.

Concern 10: The rounding of pipe lengths should be a multiple of 10 or 20 feet. So instead of 95 feet, it should say 100 feet. The concern is that one contractor prices for 95 feet, while another contractor prices for 100 feet of pipe.

Response: This will be looked into.

Concern 11: Will the pricing be done in EC or US?

Response: The pricing will be done in United States Dollars. The funding body will pay in USD, so keep prices in US. This will be resolved via an addenda to amend the relevant section of the bid document.

Concern 12: What kind of door hardware is required? Is there a door schedule? There are three internal doors that are different. Need a door schedule to explain.

Response: -Will review and provide necessary information.

Concern 13: Can we revise the quantity surveyor's calculations as they were a bit confusing?

Response: This can be reviewed to omit the unnecessary figures.

Concern 14: Is there a design for the roof drainage? There are 6 roof drains with missing pipe sizes and there are no drawings for the rainwater drainage.

Response: This will be addressed.

Concern 15: The closest manhole for the black water was not upgraded like other areas. Will we go directly from the building to the sewage system or will we upgrade the existing one?

Response: This can be reviewed and you will be advised of which option.

Concern 16: Are the two BOQ documents on the flash drive the same?

Response: This will be looked into.

Concern 17: Can the provisional sums be given to everyone?

Response: This can be reviewed and provided.

Concern 18: For the knee touch operators/technical sinks, can the part number be provided so that all bidders can bid on the same specs?

Response: To look into.

Note Well: Bidders are asked to ensure that they back check their calculations with a manual calculator, to validate the totals shown on the excel sheet.

The meeting concluded at 3:51 p.m.